

Crest Advisory Readiness Tracker

Recommendation	Delivery Group	RAG Status	Adopted by SVRP
1.1) A long-term plan should be developed to capture the roles and commitments of each Specified Authority to produce and regularly update the SNA, including expected contributions around provision of data, insight and analytical resource.	• Data and Analysis Working Group	Yellow	
1.2) Future SNA's should draw on a broader range of data from partners to develop a fuller picture of serious violence.	• Data and Analysis Working Group	Yellow	
2.1) Partners should consider existing work being done by the central VRU and the former 'spoke' VRU's and incorporate a gaps analysis in the development of the strategy.	• Strategic Violence Reduction Partnership	Red	
2.2) Ensure that the central VRU's strategy covers the breath of the four local authority areas, and that partners jointly feed into the strategy development .	• Strategic Violence Reduction Partnership	Yellow	
3.1) As the response strategy is produced, HIPS should harness the collaborative development of the strategy to drive a consistent approach to evaluation and impact measurement.	• Interventions, Evaluations and Opportunities Working Group	Red	
3.2) The partnership should develop a theory of change or similar structure to provide the basis for performance monitoring and evaluation.	• Data and Analysis Working Group	Yellow	
4.1) Set expectations with all specified authorities on what they are expected to contribute to the Duty.	• Strategic Violence Reduction Partnership	Yellow	
4.2) Disseminate a centralised message to practitioners in each area , clearly defining the roles, responsibilities and remits under the Duty for each partner authority.	• Strategic Violence Reduction Partnership • Strategy Launch Day	Red	
5.1) The partnership should develop an engagement plan covering how children, young people and families will be consulted to inform the SNA and serious violence strategy, as well as the delivery of interventions.	• Engagement Working Group	Red	
5.2) This plan should set out how the partnership intend to reach diverse cohorts, and to research saturation / oversampling .	• Engagement Working Group	Red	

Crest Advisory Readiness Tracker

Recommendation	Delivery Group	RAG Status	Adopted by SVRP
6.1) Partners should review data collection practices and ensure that there is a consistent approach to data collection. Actions to improve data collection and data quality should be identified by partners.	• Data and Analysis Working Group		
6.2) Current data sharing practices should be brought together within a unified framework , with an aim of reducing the reliance on individual Information Sharing Agreements, and aligning with expected contributions.	• Data and Analysis Working Group		
6.3) Training and information should be provided to partners on good data sharing principles and legal requirements around data sharing in connection within the Duty. The partnership should also hold a relationship-building data sharing workshop with partners, in order to work through the reticence around information sharing.	• Data and Analysis Working Group		
7.1) Managers within each of the four VRU areas should consider supporting workforce development and training among local practitioners around the public health approach, to further operationalise the approach and provide clarity to frontline practitioners .	• VRU Work Programme: Priority 5 – VRU Manager Elle Snookes leading.		
7.2) Partners should collaborate on best practice using the VRU’s connections with the Thames Valley area , considering what’s worked in Thames Valley from a public health perspective, and how these learning could be utilised in Hampshire and the Isle of Wight .	• Interventions, Evaluations and Opportunities Working Group		
7.3) Partners should place a focus on a public health model, in particular understanding the prevalence of risk factors and the effectiveness of universal prevention activity when developing and updating data and information sharing arrangements across the partnership and with commissioned services.	• Strategic Violence Reduction Partnership		
8.1) Partners should invest in more comprehensive community mapping , to identify the individuals and organisations across Hampshire and the Isle of Wight that could be actively involved in violence reduction efforts.	• Communications Working Group • Engagement Working Group		
8.2) Effective communication channels should be established to ensure that all partners are aware of existing community arrangements, including who is being targeted and what approach is being used.	• Tactical Violence Reduction Partnership		
8.3) Best practice should be shared among partners to facilitate collaboration when engaging with communities and to encourage the adoption of effective violence reduction techniques.	• Engagement Working Group		