





Private and Confidential 16<sup>th</sup> July 2018

Dear Michael and Olivia

We are pleased to attach our audit results report for the forthcoming meeting of the Joint Audit Committee. This report summarises our preliminary audit conclusion in relation to the audit of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire (the PCC) and the Chief Constable for Hampshire (the CC) for 2017/18.

We have substantially completed our audit of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire (the PCC) and the Chief Constable for Hampshire (the CC) for the year ended 31 March 2018.

Subject to concluding the outstanding matters listed in our report, we confirm that we expect to issue an unqualified audit opinion on the financial statements in the form at section 3, before the statutory deadline of 31 July 2018. We also have no matters to report on your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

This report is intended solely for the use of the Joint Audit Committee, other members of the PCC and CC, and senior management. It should not be used for any other purpose or given to any other party without obtaining our written consent.

We would like to thank your staff for their help during the engagement.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the contents of this report with you at the Joint Audit Committee meeting on 26 July 2018.

Yours sincerely

Mannoten

Maria Grindley Associate Partner For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Encl

# **Contents**



In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the via the PSAA website (<a href="www.PSAA.co.uk">www.PSAA.co.uk</a>). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The "Terms of Appointment (updated February 2017)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is made solely to the Joint Audit Committee and management Hampshire PCC and CC in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Joint Audit Committee and management of the PCC and CC those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Joint Audit Committee and management of the PCC and CC for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.





### Scope update

In our audit planning report presented at the 30 January 2018 Joint Audit Committee meeting, we provided you with an overview of our audit scope and approach for the audit of the financial statements. We carried out our audit in accordance with this plan.

### Changes in materiality

We updated our planning materiality assessment using the draft consolidated results and have also reconsidered our risk assessment.

Based on our materiality measure of gross expenditure on provision of services, we have updated our overall materiality assessment to £8.2m for the PCC Group (Audit Planning Report - £7.6m) and £7.4m for the CC Single Entity (Audit Planning Report - £6.7m).

This results in updated performance materiality, at 75% of overall materiality, of £6.2m; £5.6m; £4.6m; and £1.4m for the PCC Group; CC Single Entity; PCC Single Entity; and Police Pension Fund respectively, and updated thresholds for reporting misstatements of £0.4m; £0.37m; £0.31m; and £0.09m.

A summary of our approach to the audit of the balance sheet including any changes to that approach from the prior year audit is included in Appendix A.

## Status of the audit

We have substantially completed our audit of the Group and PCC's and CC's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2018 and have performed the procedures outlined in our Audit planning report. Subject to satisfactory completion of the following outstanding items we expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the Group and PCC's and CC's financial statements in the form which appears at Section 3. However until work is complete, further amendments may arise:

- Completion of our detailed review in certain areas of work and our final review and sign off;
- Review of the final version of the financial statements;
- Completion of subsequent events review;
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter; and
- Completion of procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) regarding the Whole of Government Accounts submission.

We expect to issue the audit certificate at the same time as the audit opinion.

# Executive Summary

### Areas of audit focus

Our Audit Planning Report identified key areas of focus for our audit of the Hampshire Constabulary Group, PCC and CC financial statements This report sets out our observations and conclusions, including our views on areas which might be conservative, and where there is potential risk and exposure. We summarise our consideration of these matters, and any others identified, in the "Key Audit Issues" section of this report.

We ask you to review these and any other matters in this report to ensure:

- ► There are no other considerations or matters that could have an impact on these issues;
- You agree with the resolution of the issue; and
- ► There are no other significant issues to be considered.

There are no matters, apart from those reported by management or disclosed in this report, which we believe should be brought to the attention of the PCC, CC or Joint Audit Committee.

## **Control observations**

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements and which is unknown to you.

## Value for money

We have considered your arrangements to take informed decisions; deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and work with partners and other third parties.

We have no matters to report about your arrangements to secure economy efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.

# **Executive Summary**

### **Audit differences**

At this stage of the audit we have identified one unadjusted audit difference, this relates to judgemental difference in the valuation of the CC's share of the Hampshire Pension Fund assets as a result of timing differences in the actuarial valuation. This is detailed in section 4 of this report.

There is currently one other error which we expect to be corrected in the final version of the accounts, this is below our threshold for corrected misstatements and we will provide an update to the committee on 26 July.

## Other reporting issues

We have reviewed the information presented in the Annual Governance Statement for consistency with our knowledge of the PCC and CC. We have no matters to report as a result of this work.

We are currently performing the procedures required by the National Audit Office (NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts submission. We will update you at the Committee meeting.

We have no other matters to report.

### Independence

Please refer to Section 9 for our update on Independence.





# Significant risk

Risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition (Group, CC & PCC)

### What is the risk?

Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper revenue recognition. In the public sector, this requirement is modified by Practice Note 10 issued by the Financial Reporting Council, which states that auditors should also consider the risk that material misstatements may occur by the manipulation of expenditure recognition.

The risk in local government resides in areas in which management judgements are made and transactions not subject to routine based system controls. As such we attach the risk of revenue recognition to the judgements made in recognising capital expenditure and the subsequent capital financing transactions.

### What judgements are we focused on?

The risk is focused on significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business for the entity, or that otherwise appear to be unusual given our understanding of the entity and its environment and other information obtained during the audit.

- We have identified the following unusual transactions which we consider to present a risk of revenue and expenditure recognition:
- Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP);
- Capital Financing Requirement (CFR);
- Revenue and Expenditure Funded from Capital Under Statute (REFCUS); and
- Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE) additions.

## What did we do?

- ► Engaged with management to understand the overall financial position;
- Examined data that supports significant additions and disposals during the period;
- Reviewed the schedule of expenditure classified as Revenue Expenditure Funded by Capital Under Statute (REFCUS);
- Ensured the calculation of the Capital Financing Requirement is compliant with the Code;
- Ensured additions and disposals tested in PPE are internally consistent with the capital financing disclosure; and
- Reviewed and discussed with management any accounting estimates on revenue recognition for evidence of bias.

### What are our conclusions?

Overall our audit work did not identify any material issues or unusual transactions to indicate any misreporting of the financial position.



# Risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition



Further details on procedures/work performed

#### Procedures focused on revenue account

Review and test revenue and expenditure cut-off at the period end date;

We extended our cut-off procedures with testing on cash and accounts payable transactions around year-end.

Review and discuss with management any accounting estimates on revenue or expenditure recognition for evidence of bias; This has been reviewed as part of our work on the testing of accruals.

#### Procedures focused on balance sheet

### Capital Financing Requirement and Minimum Revenue Provision

• We ensured that the calculation of the Capital Financing Requirement was compliant with the requirements of the Code and that the disclosure in the financial statements are internally consistent with the PPE, Investment Property, Intangible Assets and Group Account notes. We checked that MRP was appropriately calculated using the method outlined in the prudential code, paying specific attention to any MRP on unsupported borrowing.

#### **REFCUS**

Reviewed expenditure classified as REFCUS and ensured that the expenditure met the broad principle of allowable expenditure, or was incurred under direction from the secretary of state.

### Additions and disposals

• For significant additions (including capitalized labour, borrowing costs and other acceptable costs) and disposals during the period, we examined invoices, capital expenditure authorizations, leases and other data that supported the additions and disposals, to ensure assets capitalised met the correct definition and that the capital expenditure was appropriately recognised.

#### Minute Review

We reviewed the Authority's minutes to identify any transactions that may be outside the normal course of business

#### Journals

• We gained an understanding of the manual journal posting protocol in place at the Authority for which the accounting entries for capital expenditure are made.



# Significant risk

Misstatements due to fraud or error/ Risk of management override of control (Group, CC & PCC)

### What is the risk?

The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

### What judgements are we focused on?

We have considered the risk of management override and the areas of the financial statements that may be most susceptible to this risk. We have concluded that the judgements we are focused on are items of non-routine income and expenditure, involving management estimation and judgement, rather than transactions created through routine invoicing processes.

As this relates to how revenue and expenditure is recognised, we have addressed the risk through our procedures to address the risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition.

Our work on the risk of management override therefore focussed on reviewing manual journal entries, through the use of our data analytics tools, as this is the way in management would most easily be able manipulate accounting records

### What did we do?

We addressed the residual risk of management override through the following procedures:

- We tested the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in preparing the financial statements;
- We reviewed accounting estimates for evidence of management bias (as noted above relating to revenue and expenditure recognition); and
- We evaluated the business rationale for any significant unusual transactions.

### What are our conclusions?

At the date of the report our procedures are not fully completed. Based on the work completed we have not identified any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override or any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied. We have to date not identified any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Authority's normal course of business.

We will provide an update to the committee on 26 July.



# Other areas of audit focus

In our Audit Planning Report, we identified other areas of the audit, that were not classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures and therefore may be key audit matters we will include in our audit report.

## What is the risk/area of focus?

#### Valuation of Land and Buildings

Land and buildings is one of the most significant balances in the Authority's Balance Sheet. The valuation of land and buildings is complex and is subject to a number of assumptions and judgements. A small movement in these assumptions can have a material impact on the financial statements.

#### Pension Liability Valuation

The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the CC to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by Hampshire County Council. The Constabulary must also do similar in respect of the Police Pension Fund. The PCC and CC's pension fund deficit is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability be disclosed on the respective balance sheets of the PCC and CC. At 31 March 2018 this totalled £3,576 million. The information disclosed is based on the IAS 19 report issued to the PCC and CC by the actuary to the County Council and also the Police Pension Fund. Accounting for these schemes involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. ISAs (UK and Ireland) 500 and 540 require us to undertake procedures on the use of management experts and the assumptions underlying fair value estimates.

## What did we do?

#### We have:

- Reviewed the data sent to, and the report produced by, the in-house valuer;
- Challenged the assumptions used by the valuer by reference to external evidence; and
- Tested the journals for the valuation adjustments to confirm that they have been accurately processed in the financial statements.

**Conclusion:** We concluded that the valuation has been accurately processed and reflected in the financial statements.

#### We have:

- Liaised with the auditors of Hampshire Pension Fund, to obtain assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to Hampshire Constabulary;
- Assessed the work of the LGPS Pension Fund actuary (AoN Hewitt) and the Police Pension actuary (also AoN Hewitt) including the assumptions they used by relying on the work of PWC - Consulting Actuaries commissioned by National Audit Office for all Local Government sector auditors, and considered any relevant reviews by the EY actuarial team; and
- Reviewed and tested the accounting entries and disclosures made within the PCC and CC's financial statements in relation to IAS19.

**Conclusion:** We have identified an immaterial difference in the pension fund liability valuation. This is due to timing reasons, where the actuary estimates the value of the pension fund assets at 31 March. This varied by approximately £31m from the actual fund value as at 31 March. The Chief Constable's estimated share of this variance is £1.38m, which is not material but above our threshold to report to you.

Management have decided not to adjust the accounts for this non-material variance and we have reported this in section 4.





# Draft audit report - Group and PCC

### Our opinion on the financial statements

AUDITOR'S REPORT TO A POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER - GROUP ACCOUNTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR HAMPSHIRE

### Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire for the year ended 31 March 2018 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. The financial statements comprise the:

- ► Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group Movement in Reserves Statement;
- ► Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement;
- ▶ Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group Balance Sheet;
- ► Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group Cash Flow Statement;
- ► Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire Pension Fund Account Statements; and
- related notes 1 to 27.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group as at 31 March 2018 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- ► have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

### Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report below. We are independent of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire and Group in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard and the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) AGNO1, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

### Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- ► the Chief Finance Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- ▶ the Chief Finance Officer has not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

#### Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the other information.



### Our opinion on the financial statements

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters prescribed by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014

Arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

### Matters on which we report by exception

We report to you if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information forthcoming from the audit or our knowledge of the entity;
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make written recommendations to the audited body under Section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- ► we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We have nothing to report in these respects

## Responsibility of the Chief Finance Officer

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities for the Accounts set out on page 15, the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

In preparing the financial statements, the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for assessing the Police and Crime Commissioner's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Police and Crime Commissioner either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.



### Our opinion on the financial statements

The Police and Crime Commissioner is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

### Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

# Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, as to whether the PCC had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.

The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criterion as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the PCC put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, the PCC had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Police and Crime Commissioner has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO) requires us to report to you our conclusion relating to proper arrangements.

We report if significant matters have come to our attention which prevent us from concluding that the Police and Crime Commissioner has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

#### Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the accounts of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office.



## Our opinion on the financial statements

### Use of our report

This report is made solely to Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Maria Grindley (Key Audit Partner) Ernst & Young LLP (Local Auditor) Reading 26 July 2018

The maintenance and integrity of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Hampshire's web site is the responsibility of the directors; the work carried out by the auditors does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, the auditors accept no responsibility for any changes that may have occurred to the financial statements since they were initially presented on the web site.

Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.



# Draft audit report - CC

### Our opinion on the financial statements

AUDITOR'S REPORT TO A CHIEF CONSTABLE - STANDALONE ACCOUNTS

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE CHIEF CONSTABLE OF HAMPSHIRE

#### Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the Chief Constable of Hampshire for the year ended 31 March 2018 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. The financial statements comprise the:

- ► Chief Constable of Hampshire Movement in Reserves Statement;
- ► Chief Constable of Hampshire Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement:
- ► Chief Constable of Hampshire Balance Sheet;
- ► Chief Constable of Hampshire Cash Flow Statement and the related notes 1 to 20; and
- ► Chief Constable of Hampshire Pension Fund Account.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Chief Constable of Hampshire as at 31 March 2018 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- ► have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

### Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report below. We are independent of the Chief Constable for Hampshire in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard and the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) AGNO1, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

### Conclusions relating to going concern

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- ► the Chief Finance Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- ▶ the Chief Finance Officer has not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the Authority's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

#### Other information

The other information comprises the information included in the statement of accounts, other than the financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. The Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the other information.



### Our opinion on the financial statements

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in this report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters prescribed by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014

Arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Chief Constable for Hampshire put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

### Matters on which we report by exception

### We report if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information forthcoming from the audit or our knowledge of the entity;
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make written recommendations to the audited body under Section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We have nothing to report in these respects

## Responsibilities of the Chief Finance Officer

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities for the Accounts set out on page 13, the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view.

In preparing the financial statements, the Chief Finance Officer is responsible for assessing the Chief Constable's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Chief Constable either intends to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.



### Our opinion on the financial statements

The Chief Constable is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

### Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at https://www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

# Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criterion issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG) in November 2017, as to whether the Chief Constable had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criterion as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the Chief Constable put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, the Chief Constable had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Chief Constable of Hampshire has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO) requires us to report to you our conclusion relating to proper arrangements.

We report if significant matters have come to our attention which prevent us from concluding that the Chief Constable has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Chief Constable's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

#### Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the accounts of the Chief Constable of Hampshire in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office.



## Our opinion on the financial statements

### Use of our report

This report is made solely to the Chief Constable of Hampshire, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Chief Constable of Hampshire, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Maria Grindley (Key Audit Partner) Ernst & Young LLP (Local Auditor) Reading 26 July 2018

The maintenance and integrity of the Chief Constable for Hampshire's web site is the responsibility of the directors; the work carried out by the auditors does not involve consideration of these matters and, accordingly, the auditors accept no responsibility for any changes that may have occurred to the financial statements since they were initially presented on the web site. Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.





# Audit Differences

In the normal course of any audit, we identify misstatements between amounts we believe should be recorded in the financial statements and the disclosures and amounts actually recorded. These differences are classified as "known" or "judgemental". Known differences represent items that can be accurately quantified and relate to a definite set of facts or circumstances. Judgemental differences generally involve estimation and relate to facts or circumstances that are uncertain or open to interpretation.

## Summary of adjusted differences

We highlight misstatements greater than our reporting thresholds which have been corrected by management that were identified during the course of our audit.

None of the misstatements were greater than our reporting thresholds (tolerable error):

PCC Group - £6.2 million

CC - £5.6 million

PCC - £4.6 million

Police Pension Fund - £1.4m

Subject to completion of our audit, there are currently no corrected misstatements identified greater than this threshold.

## Summary of unadjusted differences

We have included all judgemental amounts greater than £413k in our summary of misstatements table below.

There is one unadjusted difference. This is a judgemental difference in relation to the pension fund asset figure on the LGPS scheme, where the actuarial valuation of the scheme's assets is based on an estimated asset value at 31 March 2018 and there is a difference of £31m between the actual value of the Hampshire Pension Fund at 31 March 2018. This results in the Chief Constable's share of the pension fund asset being understated by £1.38m, resulting in the net pension liability disclosed in the PCC Group's and Chief Constable's balance sheet being overstated by the same amount.

### Comments on disclosure notes

The draft accounts submitted for audit included a number of casting, consistency and cross-referencing errors which have been amended by management

We will complete final checks to ensure that all of the above errors have been amended in the final version of the accounts.



# Audit Differences

## Summary of unadjusted differences

In addition we highlight the following misstatements to the financial statements and/or disclosures which were not corrected by management. We request that these uncorrected misstatements be corrected or a rationale as to why they are not corrected be considered and approved by the Joint Audit Committee and provided within the Letter of Representation:


Uncorrected misstatements 31 March 2018 (£000)	Effect on the current period:	8	Balance Sheet (Decrease)/Increase		
	Comprehensive income and expenditure statement Debit/(Credit)	Assets current Debit/ (Credit)	Assets non current Debit/ (Credit)	Liabilities current Debit/ (Credit)	Liabilities non- current Debit/ (Credit)
Judgemental difference in estimation of share of pension assets between IAS19 report and the actual year end assets of Hampshire Pension Fund.	(1,380)				1,380

There are no amounts that we identified that are individually or in aggregate material to the presentation and disclosures of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2018.



#### V F M

# Value for Money



## **Background**

We are required to consider whether the PCC and CC have put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

For 2017/18 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

## **Overall conclusion**

We did not identify any significant risks around these criteria.

We therefore expect to have no matters to report about your arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources.



# Other reporting issues

## Consistency of other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement

We must give an opinion on the consistency of the financial and non-financial information in the Statement of Accounts 2017/18 with the audited financial statements.

We must also review the Annual Governance Statement for completeness of disclosures, consistency with other information from our work, and whether it complies with relevant guidance.

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and can confirm it is consistent with other information from our audit of the financial statements and we have no other matters to report.

### **Whole of Government Accounts**

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review, and the nature of our report, is specified by the National Audit Office.

At the time of writing this report we are in the process of completing this work, we will report any findings to the Audit Committee on 26 July 2018.

### Other powers and duties

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit, either for the Authority to consider it or to bring it to the attention of the public (i.e. "a report in the public interest"). We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

We also have a duty to make written recommendations to the Authority, copied to the Secretary of State, and take action in accordance with our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. We did not identify any issues.

# **Other reporting issues**

# Other reporting issues

## Other matters

As required by ISA (UK&I) 260 and other ISAs specifying communication requirements, we must tell you significant findings from the audit and other matters if they are significant to your oversight of the Authority's financial reporting process. They include the following:

- Significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- Any significant difficulties encountered during the audit;
- Any significant matters arising from the audit that were discussed with management;
- Written representations we have requested;
- Expected modifications to the audit report;
- Any other matters significant to overseeing the financial reporting process;
- Related parties;
- External confirmations;
- Going concern;
- Consideration of laws and regulations; and
- Group audits

To date there are no significant issues to report. We will update this on completion of our work.





# Assessment of Control Environment

### Financial controls

It is the responsibility of Hampshire Constabulary to develop and implement systems of internal financial control and to put in place proper arrangements to monitor their adequacy and effectiveness in practice.

Our responsibility as your auditor is to consider whether Hampshire Constabulary has put adequate arrangements in place to satisfy itself that the systems of internal financial control are both adequate and effective in practice.

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed.

Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control.

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.

### Reliance on internal audit

We have reviewed Internal Audit reports issued to management during the period to April 2018 to ensure that any financial statement risks identified are considered in determining the extent of our audit procedures.





# Use of Data Analytics in the Audit

# Data analytics

# **Analytics Driven Audit**

## **Data analytics**

We used our data analysers to enable us to capture entire populations of your financial data. These analysers:

- ► Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be the focus of our substantive audit tests; and
- Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than traditional, random sampling techniques.

In 2017/18, our use of these analysers in the PCC and CC's audit included testing journal entries, to identify and focus our testing on those entries we deem to have the highest inherent risk to the audit.

We capture the data through our formal data requests and the data transfer takes place on a secured EY website. These are in line with our EY data protection policies which are designed to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of business and personal information.

## **Journal Entry Analysis**


We obtain downloads of all financial ledger transactions posted in the year. We perform completeness analysis over the data, reconciling the sum of transactions to the movement in the trial balances and financial statements to ensure we have captured all data. Our analysers then review and sort transactions, allowing us to more effectively identify and test journals that we consider to be higher risk, as identified in our audit planning report.



# **Journal Entry Data Insights**

The graphic outlined below summarises the journal population for 2017/18. We review journals by certain risk based criteria to focus on higher risk transactions, such as journals posted manually by management, those posted around the year-end, those with unusual debit and credit relationships, and those posted by individuals we would not expect to be entering transactions.

The purpose of this approach is to provide a more effective, risk focused approach to auditing journal entries, minimising the burden of compliance on management by minimising randomly selected samples.



# Journal Entry Testing

## What is the risk?

In line with ISA 240 we are required to test the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements.

Journal entry data criteria - Hampshire PCC - 31 March 2018

### What judgements are we focused on?

Using our analysers we are able to take a risk based approach to identify journals with a higher risk of management override, as outlined in our audit planning report.



### What did we do?

We obtained general ledger journal data for the period and have used our analysers to identify characteristics typically associated with inappropriate journal entries or adjustments, and journals entries that are subject to a higher risk of management override.

We then performed tests on the journals identified to determine if they were appropriate and reasonable.

### What are our conclusions?

We isolated a sub set of journals for further investigation and obtained supporting evidence to verify the posting of these transactions and concluded that they were appropriately stated.





## Confirmation



We confirm that there are no changes in our assessment of independence since our confirmation in our Audit Planning Report dated January 2018.

We complied with the FRC Ethical Standards and the requirements of the PSAA's Terms of Appointment. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning of regulatory and professional requirements.

We consider that our independence in this context is a matter which you should review, as well as us. It is important that you and the Joint Audit Committee consider the facts known to you and come to a view. If you would like to discuss any matters concerning our independence, we will be pleased to do this at the meeting of the Joint Audit Committee on 26 July 2018.

# **Page 3** Fee analysis

As part of our reporting on our independence, we set out below a summary of the fees paid for the year ended 31 March 2018. We confirm that we have not undertaken non-audit work outside the PSAA Code requirements.

	Final Fee 2017/18	Planned Fee 2017/18	Scale Fee 2017/18	Final Fee 2016/17
	£	£	£	£
Total Audit Fee - PCC Code work	£41,235	£41,235	£41,235	£41,235
Total Audit Fee - CC Code work	£18,750	£18,750	£18,750	£18,750
Total Audit Fee - Code work	£59,985	£59,985	£59,985	£59,985

### Independence



## Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

The FRC Ethical Standard requires that we provide details of all relationships between Ernst & Young (EY), the PCC and CC, their directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by us and our network to the PCC and CC, their directors and senior management and affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the our integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence and the related safeguards that are in place and why they address the threats.

There are no relationships from 1 April 2017 to the date of this report, which we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and objectivity.

### Services provided by Ernst & Young

The slide above includes a summary of the fees that you have paid to us in the year ended 31 March 2018 in line with the disclosures set out in FRC Ethical Standard and in statute.

We confirm that none of the services provided have been on a contingent fee basis.

As at the date of this report, there are no future services which have been contracted and no written proposal to provide non-audit services has been submitted.





## Audit approach update

We summarise below our approach to the audit of the balance sheet and any changes to this approach from the prior year audit.

Our audit procedures are designed to be responsive to our assessed risk of material misstatement at the relevant assertion level. Assertions relevant to the balance sheet include:

- Existence: An asset, liability and equity interest exists at a given date
- Rights and Obligations: An asset, liability and equity interest pertains to the entity at a given date
- ▶ Completeness: There are no unrecorded assets, liabilities, and equity interests, transactions or events, or undisclosed items
- Valuation: An asset, liability and equity interest is recorded at an appropriate amount and any resulting valuation or allocation adjustments are appropriately recorded
- Presentation and Disclosure: Assets, liabilities and equity interests are appropriately aggregated or disaggregated, and classified, described and disclosed in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Disclosures are relevant and understandable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework

Balance sheet category	Audit Approach in current year	Audit Approach in prior year	Explanation for change
Trade receivables	We tested controls over all relevant assertions with limited substantive testing performed in accordance with auditing standards	We tested controls over all relevant assertions with limited substantive testing performed in accordance with auditing standards	No change
Trade payables	We tested controls over all relevant assertions with limited substantive testing performed in accordance with auditing standards	We tested controls over all relevant assertions with limited substantive testing performed in accordance with auditing standards	No change
Tangible fixed assets	Substantively tested all relevant assertions	Substantively tested all relevant assertions	No change
Cash	Substantively tested all relevant assertions	Substantively tested all relevant assertions	No change



### Appendix B

# Summary of communications

Date	Nature Carry	Summary
January 2018	Report	The audit planning report, including confirmation of independence, was issued to the Joint Audit Committee.
30 January 2018	Meeting	The Associate Partner and Senior Manager met with the Joint Audit Committee and senior members of the management team to discuss the audit planning report.
31 May 2018	Meeting	The Associate Partner and Senior Manager met with the Joint Audit Committee and senior members of the management team to discuss the progress of the audit.
13 July 2018	Meeting	Audit close meeting with the management team to discuss the preliminary findings of the audit.
16 July 2018	Report	The audit results report, including confirmation of independence, was issued to the Joint Audit Committee.
26 July 2018	Meeting	The partner in charge of the engagement, accompanied by other senior members of the audit team, met with the Joint Audit Committee and senior members of the management team to discuss the audit results report.

In addition to the above specific meetings and letters the audit team met with the management team multiple times throughout the audit to discuss audit findings.



### Appendix C

# Required communications with the PCC and CC

There are certain communications that we must provide to the PCC and CC. We have detailed these here together with a reference of when and where they were covered:

		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the PCC and CC of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter.	Audit planning report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 30 January 2018
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified.	Audit planning report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 30 January 2018
Significant findings from the audit	<ul> <li>Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures</li> <li>Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit</li> <li>Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management</li> <li>Written representations that we are seeking</li> <li>Expected modifications to the audit report</li> <li>Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process</li> </ul>	Audit results report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Going concern	Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:  ► Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty  ► Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements  ► The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements	Audit results report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Misstatements	<ul> <li>Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion</li> <li>The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods</li> <li>A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected</li> <li>Material misstatements corrected by management</li> </ul>	Audit results report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Subsequent events	► Enquiry of the audit committee where appropriate regarding whether any subsequent events have occurred that might affect the financial statements.	
Fraud	<ul> <li>Enquiries of the PCC, CC and Joint Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the PCC and CC</li> <li>Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist</li> <li>Unless all of those charged with governance are involved in managing the PCC and CC, any identified or suspected fraud involving:         <ul> <li>Management;</li> <li>Employees who have significant roles in internal control; or</li> <li>Others where the fraud results in a material misstatement in the financial statements.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures necessary to complete the audit when fraud involving management is suspected</li> <li>Any other matters related to fraud, relevant to PCC, CC &amp; Joint Audit Committee responsibility.</li> </ul>	Audit results report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Related parties	Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the PCC's and CC's related parties including, when applicable:  Non-disclosure by management  Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions  Disagreement over disclosures  Non-compliance with laws and regulations  Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the Authority	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Independence	Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence.  Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:  The principal threats  Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness  An overall assessment of threats and safeguards  Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence  Communications whenever significant judgments are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place.	Initial reporting in the Audit Planning Report presented at the Audit Committee 30 January 2018  Confirmation in the Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
External confirmations	<ul> <li>Management's refusal for us to request confirmations</li> <li>Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.</li> </ul>	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul> <li>Subject to compliance with applicable regulations, matters involving identified or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, other than those which are clearly inconsequential and the implications thereof. Instances of suspected non-compliance may also include those that are brought to our attention that are expected to occur imminently or for which there is reason to believe that they may occur</li> <li>Enquiry of the PCC, CC and Joint Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the audit committee may be aware of</li> </ul>	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018



		Our Reporting to you
Required communications	What is reported?	When and where
Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit	► Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	► Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	Request for Audit Committee to approve the letter of representation from management at the Joint Audit Committee meeting on 26 July 2018
Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	► Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Auditors report	► Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor's report	Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018
Fee Reporting	<ul> <li>Breakdown of fee information when the audit planning report is agreed</li> <li>Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit</li> <li>Any non-audit work</li> </ul>	Initial reporting in the Audit Planning Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 30 January 2018 Confirmation in the Audit Results Report presented at the Joint Audit Committee 26 July 2018



# Appendix D

# **Outstanding matters**

The following items relating to the completion of our audit procedures are outstanding at the date of the release of this report:

Item	Actions to resolve	Responsibility
Management representation letter	Receipt of signed management representation letter	Management and Audit Committee
Subsequent events review	Completion of subsequent events procedures to the date of signing the audit report	EY and management
Completion of final areas of testing	Receipt of outstanding support for audit requests, and documentation and review on our files.	EY and management



### Appendix E

## Management representation letter

### **Management Rep Letter**

[To be prepared on the entity's letterhead] [Date]

Ernst & Young Apex Plaza Forbury Road Reading RG1 1YE

Dear Maria,

This letter of representations is provided in connection with your audit of the financial statements of Hampshire Police and Crime Commissioner Group for the year ended 31 March 2018. We recognise that obtaining representations from us concerning the information contained in this letter is a significant procedure in enabling you to form an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Hampshire Police and Crime Commissioner Group as of 31 March 2018 and of its income and expenditure for the year then ended in accordance with CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.

We understand that the purpose of your audit of our financial statements is to express an opinion thereon and that your audit was conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), which involves an examination of the accounting system, internal control and related data to the extent you considered necessary in the circumstances, and is not designed to identify - nor necessarily be expected to disclose - all fraud, shortages, errors and other irregularities, should any exist.

Accordingly, we make the following representations, which are true to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

#### A. Financial Statements and Financial Records

- 1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities, under the relevant statutory authorities, for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 and CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.
- 2. We acknowledge our responsibility for the fair presentation of the financial statements. We believe the financial statements referred to above give a true and fair view of the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the Entity in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18. We have approved the financial statements.
- 3. The significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriately described in the financial statements.
- 4. We believe that the entity has a system of internal controls adequate to enable the preparation of accurate financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18, that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
- 5. We believe that the effects of any unadjusted audit differences, summarised in the accompanying schedule, accumulated by you during the current audit and pertaining to the latest period presented are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### B. Non-compliance with law and regulations, including fraud

- 1. We acknowledge that we are responsible to determine that the entity's activities are conducted in accordance with laws and regulations and that we are responsible to identify and address any non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including fraud.
- 2. We acknowledge that we are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal controls to prevent and detect fraud.
- 3. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.



## Management representation letter

### **Management Rep Letter**

- 4. We have no knowledge of any identified or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations, including fraud that may have affected the entity (regardless of the source or form and including without limitation, any allegations by "whistleblowers"), including non-compliance matters:
- ▶ involving financial statements;
- related to laws and regulations that have a direct effect on the determination of material amounts and disclosures in the entity's financial statements;
- related to laws and regulations that have an indirect effect on amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, but compliance with which may be fundamental to the operations of the entity's activities, its ability to continue to operate, or to avoid material penalties;
- involving management, or employees who have significant roles in internal controls, or others; or
- in relation to any allegations of fraud, suspected fraud or other noncompliance with laws and regulations communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

#### C. Information Provided and Completeness of Information and Transactions

- 1.We have provided you with:
- ► Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;
- ► Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit; and
- ► Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
- 2. All material transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

- 3.We have made available to you all minutes of the meetings of the Joint Audit Committee (or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared) held through the financial year.
- 4.We confirm the completeness of information provided regarding the identification of related parties. We have disclosed to you the identity of the Entity's related parties and all related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers of assets, liabilities and services, leasing arrangements, guarantees, non-monetary transactions and transactions for no consideration for the period ended, as well as related balances due to or from such parties at the period end. These transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in the financial statements.
- 5. We believe that the significant assumptions we used in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
- 6. We have disclosed to you, and the entity has complied with, all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance, including all covenants, conditions or other requirements of all outstanding debt.

### D. Liabilities and Contingencies

- 1.All liabilities and contingencies, including those associated with guarantees, whether written or oral, have been disclosed to you and are appropriately reflected in the financial statements.
- 2.We have informed you of all outstanding and possible litigation and claims, whether or not they have been discussed with legal counsel.

### E. Subsequent Events

1. There have been no events subsequent to period end which require adjustment of or disclosure in the financial statements or notes thereto.



### Appendix E

### Management representation letter

### **Management Rep Letter**

#### F. Reserves

1. We have properly recorded or disclosed in the financial statements the useable and unusable reserves.

#### G. Use of the Work of a Specialist

1.We agree with the findings of the specialists that we engaged to evaluate the IAS19 actuarial valuations of pension liabilities and have adequately considered the qualifications of the specialists in determining the amounts and disclosures included in the financial statements and the underlying accounting records. We did not give or cause any instructions to be given to the specialists with respect to the values or amounts derived in an attempt to bias their work, and we are not otherwise aware of any matters that have had an effect on the independence or objectivity of the specialists.

### H. Accounting Estimates

- 1.We believe that the measurement processes, including related assumptions and models, used to determine the accounting estimates have been consistently applied and are appropriate in the context of the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.
- 2. We confirm that the significant assumptions used in making the estimates appropriately reflect our intent and ability to carry out specific courses of action on behalf of the entity.
- 3.We confirm that the disclosures made in the financial statements with respect to the accounting estimates are complete and made in accordance with the CIPFA LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.
- 4. We confirm that no adjustments are required to the accounting estimates and disclosures in the financial statements due to subsequent events.

#### . Retirement benefits

5. On the basis of the process established by us and having made appropriate enquiries, we are satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the scheme liabilities are consistent with our knowledge of the business. All significant retirement benefits and all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for.

Yours sincerely,

Chief Financial Officer

Police and Crime Commissioner

### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2017 EYGM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com